Rev. 7-98)	PATEN	TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N.	_09/647,994_	
Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) Kathy Matecki		Date <u>June 19, 2001</u>
O: Art Unit 3721 P. Vo. Class/sub 493 FF	юм: a.u. <u>3653</u>	Class_ 242
REASON: This case does not belong in class 242, for the reasons set to claims drawn to making an elongated tubular spindle member claims to a chain (e.g. claims 35+). If it does not belong in court classifier, as indicated by the first choice below. Therefore which warrants return to the originating TC. Gatekeeper concurrence Hand carried: Per	r are more comp lass 493, it sho re is no non-clas	orehensive than the uld be sent to your To
Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By:		
□ ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.		
□ Non-classification issue/other, return to Or REASON:	· · ·	
REASON: Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI	ER	
REASON:	ER Post Classifier	Date
REASON: Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	ER	
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment:	ER Post Classifier Concurring	Date
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier	ER Post Classifier Concurring	Date
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	ER _ Post Classifier Concurring	DateDate
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	ER _ Post Classifier Concurring	Date
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit	ER _ Post Classifier Concurring	DateDate
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier	ER _ Post Classifier Concurring	DateDate
Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TO POST CLASSIFI This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit REASON:	ERPost Classifier Concurring	DateDate